2.3.4 Optimal paths in directed acyclic graphs

Definition: A directed graph G = (N, A) is <u>acyclic</u> if it contains no circuits. A directed acyclic graph is referred to as <u>DAG</u>.



1



Given a <u>directed acyclic</u> G = (N, A) with a cost $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ for each $(i, j) \in A$, and nodes *s* and *t*, determine a <u>shortest</u> (<u>longest</u>) <u>path</u> from *s* to *t*.

Property

The nodes of any directed acyclic graph *G* can be ordered topologically, that is, indexed so that

```
for each arc (i, j) \in A we have i < j.
```

Topological order

Such a topological order can be exploited in a very efficient Dynamic Programming algorithm to find shortest (or longest) paths in directed acyclic graphs.

Topological ordering method

G = (N, A) is represented via the lists of predecessors $\delta^{-}(v)$ and successors $\delta^{+}(v)$ for each node *v*



1. Assign the smallest positive integer not yet assigned to a node $v \in N$ with $\delta^{-}(v) = \emptyset$.



2. Delete the node v with all its incident arcs.

3. Go back to 1. until there are nodes in the current subgraph.









Complexity: O(m) where m=|A|

because each node and each arc is considered at most once.

Example



Given *G* and a topological order of the nodes, find a shortest path from node 1 to node 8 ?

Dynamic Programming for shortest paths in DAGs

Any <u>shortest path</u> $\pi_t : \underbrace{1}_{t} \cdots \underbrace{t}_{t}$ with at least two arcs can be subdivided into two parts π_i and (i,t): $\underbrace{1}_{\pi_i} \underbrace{i}_{c_{it}} \underbrace{t}_{t}$

where $\pi_i: (1) \dots (i)$ is a <u>shortest subpath</u> from *s* to *i*.

Definition: For each node i = 1, ..., t

 $L(i) = \underline{cost}$ of a <u>shortest path</u> from 1 to i.

Clearly
$$L(t) = \min_{(i,t)\in\delta^{-}(t)} \{L(i) + c_{it}\}$$

where the minimum is taken over <u>all</u> possible predecessors *i* of *t* in a path from 1 to *t*.

If *G* is directed and <u>acyclic</u> and the nodes are <u>topologically ordered</u>, the only possible predecessors of *t* in a shortest path π_t from *1* to *t* are those with index *i* < *t* and hence:

$$L(t) = \min_{i < t} \{L(i) + c_{it}\}$$

<u>Observation</u>: In a graph containing circuits, any node different from *t* can be a predecessor of *t* in π_t !

8

For DAGs whose nodes are topologically ordered

L(t-1),.... and L(1) satisfy the same type of <u>recursive</u> relations:

$$L(t-1) = \min_{i < t-1} \{L(i) + c_{i(t-1)}\}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$L(2) = \min_{i=1} \{L(i) + c_{i2}\} = L(1) + c_{12}$$

$$L(1) = 0$$

which can be solved in the <u>reverse order</u>:

$$L(1) = 0 \\ C(2) = L(1) + c_{12} \\ \vdots \\ L(t) = \min_{i < t} \{L(i) + c_{it}\}$$

xample
$$L(1) = 0$$
pred(1)=1 $L(2) = L(1) + c_{12} = 0 + 4 = 4$ pred(2)=1 $L(3) = L(1) + c_{13} = 0 + 2 = 2$ pred(3)=1

 $L(4) = \min_{i=1,2,3} \{ L(i) + c_{i4} \} = \min\{0+5, 4+3, 2+1\} = 3 \quad \text{pred}(4) = 3$



[.] indicates the predecessor of node *i* in a shortest path from 1 to *i*

$$L(5) = \min_{i=1,2} \{L(i) + c_{i5}\} = \min \{0 + 12, 4 + 1\} = 5 \qquad \text{pred}(5) = 2$$

$$L(6) = \min_{i=3} \{L(i) + c_{i6}\} = \min \{2 + 11\} = 13 \qquad \text{pred}(6) = 3$$

$$L(7) = L(5) + c_{57} = 5 + 6 = 11 \qquad \text{pred}(7) = 5$$

 $L(8) = \min_{i=4,5,6,7} \{ L(i) + c_{i8} \} = \min \{ 3+13, 5+9, 13+8, 11+7 \} = 14$ pred(8)=5



Complexity DP for shortest paths in DAGs

```
BEGIN
Sort the nodes of G topologically;
L[1] := 0;
FOR j:=2 TO n DO /* in topological order */
L[j] := min {L[i] + c_{ij} : (i,j) \in \delta^-(j), i < j};
pred[j] := v with
    (v,j) = argmin {L[i] + c_{ij} : (i,j) \in \delta^-(j), i < j};
END-FOR
END</pre>
```

- Topological ordering of the nodes: O(m) with m=|A|
- Each node and arc are considered exactly once: O(n+m)

```
Overall complexity: O(m)
```

Adaptation of DP for longest paths in DAGs

Straightforward adaptation of the Dynamic Programming method to find <u>longest paths</u> in directed acyclic graphs:

$$L(t) = \max_{i < t} \{L(i) + c_{it}\}....$$

Proposition: The Dynamic Programming algorithm for finding shortest (longest) paths in DAGs is exact.

This is due to:

Optimality principle

For any shortest (longest) path π_j : 1)------ *j* there exists i < j such that we have the decomposition:



where the subpath $\pi_i: (1) \dots (i)$ is of minimum (maximum) length from *s* to *i*.

Dynamic Programming (DP)



15

Proposed in 1953 by Richard Bellman (1920-1984)

General technique in which an <u>optimal solution</u>, composed of a <u>sequence of elementary decisions</u>, is determined by solving a set of <u>recursive equations</u>.

DP is applicable to any "sequential decision problem" for which the <u>optimality property</u> is satisfied.

A wide range of applications: optimal control (rocket launch), equipment maintenance and replacement, selection of inspection points along a production line,...



A company buys a new machine for 12 K€

Annual maintenance costs for the next five years:

Age (years)	Maintenance (K€)	Recover (K€)
0	2	-
1	4	7
2	5	6
3	9	2
4	12	1

To avoid high maintenance costs of an older machine, the machine can be replaced at the beginning of the 2nd, 3rd, 4th or 5th year with a new machine.

To simplify the model, suppose that the cost of a new machine is constant (12 K \in).

<u>Objective</u>: minimize the <u>*net total cost*</u> (price + maintenance – recover) over the 5 year horizon.

Age	Main.	Reco.
0	2	-
1	4	7
2	5	6
3	9	2
4	12	1

If we <u>buy</u> a machine at beginning of 1^{st} <u>year</u> and sell it at the beginning of 2^{nd} year, the net cost is: 12 + 2 - 7 = 7

If we buy it at the beginning of 1st year and sell it at the beginning of 3rd year, the net cost is:12 + 2 + 4 - 6 = 12

etc...

Show how the problem of <u>determining an optimal maintenance -</u> <u>replacement policy</u> of minimum total cost (for the next 5 years) can be solved via Dynamic Programming.

<u>Hint</u>: Reduce it to a minimum cost path problem in an appropriate acyclic graph.

Find all the optimal maintenance-replacement policies.